

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,914	12/16/2005	Dieter Adolf Kluger	121812.00011	2474
	7590 06/08/2007 Michael J McGovern		EXAMINER	
Quarles & Brady			STEPHENSON, DANIEL P	
411 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	· DELIVERY MODE
•			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/560,914	KLUGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,_	• •				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/560,914

Art Unit: 3672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the pre-grant publication to Bode (US 2003/0021922). Bode '922 (Fig. 2-4) discloses a well screen that includes; a base pipe; an inner cylindrical stand-off made of a skeletal mesh; a filter layer around the inner stand-off; an outer cylindrical stand-off around the filter layer; and a cover around the outer stand-off. The stand-offs provide a cage around the filter layer, have a greater rigidity and are arranged to resist collapse of the cover towards the filter. The longitudinal edges of the mesh stand-off are connected together.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,914

Art Unit: 3672

- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the pre-grant publication to Echols et al. (US2002/0178582). Echols et al. (Fig.2 and 7) discloses a well screen that includes; a base pipe; an inner cylindrical stand-off (52) made of a skeletal mesh; a filter layer (50) around the inner stand-off; an outer cylindrical stand-off (48) around the filter layer; and a cover around the outer stand-off. The stand-offs provide a cage around the filter layer and are arranged to resist collapse of the cover towards the filter. The longitudinal edges of the mesh stand-off are connected together.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rouse et al. (US 6,158,507). Rouse et al. (Fig1 and 3) discloses a well screen that includes; a base pipe; a filter layer (22); an outer cylindrical stand-off (28) of skeletal mesh around the filter layer; and a cover around the outer standoff. The stand-off is arranged to resist collapse of the cover towards the filter. The longitudinal edges of the mesh stand-off are connected together.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Simone et al., Voll et al., Wilson et al., Broome et al. and Gillespie et al. all show similar features to those of the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel P. Stephenson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7035. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/560,914

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

DPS187